



LegislLetter

PO Box 8690, Lacey, WA 98509 • 1.360.357.9975 • 1.800.331.3276

2010 Vote Record

After a 60-day regular session and 30 days of special session, the Legislature finally concluded its business. Legislators agreed on a compromise budget and tax package in the early morning hours of the 30th day. After all the cries of “devastating cuts,” the new \$30.45 billion budget is a cut of less than 1.5 percent over previously proposed general fund spending, and it is an actual increase in total state spending from \$58.67 billion to \$60.56 billion.

The lack of willingness to make cuts has resulted in taxes that will surely slow our state’s economic recovery, prolonging the recession. This year presented a perfect opportunity to evaluate what areas the government is involved in, how efficient and effective government services are, and how to make fundamental changes to the public sector. Instead, legislators decided to use Band-Aids, hoping the economy magically improves before next year.

Throughout the regular and special sessions, Washington Farm Bureau pushed for an all-cuts budget. Unfortunately the debate quickly turned from whether taxes needed to be raised to which taxes would be raised. It is important to note that the budget still relies on \$625 million of federal funds, and approximately \$600 million in fund transfers. Use of these one-time monies likely means that there will be another large deficit – conservatively estimated at \$3 billion – waiting for legislators when they return for the 2011 session.

This vote record attempts to inform Farm Bureau members about how their legislators voted on the issues most important to our state’s farm and ranch families. A legislator received 10 points for each correct vote cast.

It must be noted that many bills of importance to Washington agriculture never made it to the floor of the House or Senate for a vote. In many cases, the Legislature avoided controversial issues. In other cases, your Farm Bureau lobby team was successful in defeating harmful legislation at the committee level, and, in some instances, legislation Farm Bureau supported did not advance from committee.

We hope this vote record will assist our members in opening a dialogue with elected officials and in fostering a working relationship with them.

Following is a brief summary of the bills included in the vote record.

EHB 1653 (SMA/GMA) protects existing structures and uses (including agricultural uses) from interim ordinances that may apply GMA critical areas ordinances to shoreline areas. The bill was necessary after the Department of Ecology issued guidance, with the blessing of the attorney general, following conflicting Appeals and Supreme Court rulings regarding when distinct jurisdiction between the acts (SMA and GMA) takes place. Once the local Shoreline Master Program is updated, only the SMA (which exempts agriculture from new regulations) applies in shoreline areas. Farm Bureau supported EHB 1653, which passed the House 58-39-0-1 and the Senate 35-10-0-4 (yeas-nays-absent-excused).

ESHB 2716 (Right of Repurchase after Eminent Domain Action) would have provided the right of repurchase to the previous owner of land taken via eminent domain if the state Department of Transportation does not use that land for the purpose for which it was taken. Farm Bureau supported ESHB 2716, which passed the House 94-3-0-1 but did not receive a vote in the Senate.

ESSB 6130 (Suspending I-960) eliminated the two-thirds vote requirement for tax increases. The bill also eliminated transparency provisions by removing the requirement that the names of legislators who voted to raise taxes be included in the voters' pamphlet. Farm Bureau opposed this bill, which passed the House 51-47 and the Senate 26-21-0-2.

SB 6143 (Revenue and Taxation) raised the B&O tax 0.3 percent for service businesses such as accountants, attorneys, business consultants, realtors, engineers, farming-for-hire, horse boarding, veterinarians, and many others that provide a service that does not involve selling a good. It also mandated a \$1 increase per pack on cigarettes, a \$0.50 per gallon increase on beer (except microbrews), and a \$0.02 per 12-oz. tax on carbonated beverages (soda). The bill applied the sales tax to bottled water, candy, and gum for the first time. Farm Bureau preferred government spending cuts over tax increase and therefore opposed the bill, which passed the House 52-44-0-2 and the Senate 25-21-0-3.

SSB 6208 (Temporary Ag Directional Signs) allows for the placement of temporary ag signs in the right-of-way along state highways if the signs do not create a safety hazard and the state Department of Transportation authorizes the placement of the signs. Previously, temporary ag signs could be placed only on private property, subject to certain restrictions, but use of state right-of-way was prohibited. The bill will grant more options for ag producers involved in direct marketing. Farm Bureau supported the bill which passed the House 96-0-0-2 and the Senate 48-0-0-1.

E2SSB 6267 Vote 1 (Water Right Processing) clarifies the current ability of water permit applicants to use a method of processing known as cost reimbursement, whereby applicants can pay to process water right applications ahead of them in the permit line. The measure also creates an "expedited permit processing" option. This option would be initiated at the request of water right permit applicants and would allow for the expedited processing of water right applications within the same source of supply. It would be expedited because the full cost incurred to process the applications would be borne by applicants. Unwilling applicants would simply see their application remain in the permit processing line until the Department of Ecology gets around to processing it, or the

applicant exercises the cost reimbursement or expedited process in the future. Farm Bureau asked legislators to vote “yes.” The bill passed the Senate 46-2-0-1.

E2SSB 6267 Vote 2 (Water Right Processing) is the bill as described in Vote 1 above, but the House added language that would have substantially increased water right permit fees. Farm Bureau vigorously worked to defeat this measure, but the House passed it by a vote of 51-47.

E2SSB 6267 Vote 3 (Water Right Processing) When the bill passed by the House in Vote 2 above returned to the Senate for concurrence, the lieutenant governor ruled that the fee language was beyond the scope and object of the bill. The Senate returned the bill to the House. Farm Bureau worked to persuade the House to drop the fee language, which it did. The bill passed the House 96-1-0-1 and the Senate 46-2-0-1.

SB 6349 (Farm Internships) establishes a pilot project for small farms in Skagit and San Juan counties to offer up to three internships each year. The internships must be based on educational curriculum, and farm work must relate to the teaching of farming practices. Interns may receive stipends that are less than the state minimum wage. Before offering the internships, each farm must apply for and receive certification by the Department of Labor and Industries, which will offer special industrial insurance for the interns. Farm Bureau supported this bill, which passed the House 96-2 and the Senate 44-0-2-3.

ESSB 6444 (Supplemental Operating Budget) is the budget that covers the second half of the 2009-11 biennium. Farm Bureau supported an “all-cuts” budget funded by existing revenues. The legislature chose to enact new taxes and increase overall spending. The \$30.4 billion dollar operating budget cuts less than \$1 billion, and overall state spending is increased by \$1.89 billion this biennium. We opposed the final version, which passed the Senate 25-21-0-3 and the House 54-43-0-1.

SB 6520 (Ruckelshaus Extension) extends the moratorium preventing updates of critical areas ordinances (CAOs) from impacting agriculture by one year, through July 1, 2011. It provides additional time for discussions of agriculture and CAOs at the Ruckelshaus Center, a joint WSU-UW policy resolution center. Farm Bureau supported SB 6520, which passed the House 97-0-0-1 and the Senate 47-0-0-2.

SSB 6521 (Ag Impact Statement) would have required state agencies to complete an agriculture impact statement before acquiring any interest in real property and would have required the Conservation Commission to develop the agriculture impact statement form. Farm Bureau supported SSB 6521, which passed the Senate 47-0-0-2 but did not receive a vote in the House.

SSB 6611 (GMA Comp Plan Deferral of Updates) provides a three-year extension for local governments to update their GMA comprehensive plans and critical areas ordinances. Farm Bureau supported this bill, which passed the House 94-2-0-2 and the Senate 45-0-4-0.

Legend

✓ = Correct Vote

x = Incorrect Vote

⊙ = Absent/Excused

Washington State Senate

Name, Party & District	EHB 1653	ESSB 6130	SB 6143	SSB 6208	E2SSB 6267 #1	E2SSB 6267 #3	SB 6349	ESSB 6444	SB 6520	SSB 6521	SSB 6611	PERCENTAGE
Farm Bureau Position	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	
Becker, Randi (R-2)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Benton, Don (R-17)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Berkey, Jean (D-38)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Brandland, Dale (R-42)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Brown, Lisa (D-3)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	⊖	X	✓	✓	✓	64%
Carrell, Mike (R-28)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Delvin, Jerome (R-8)	X	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	73%
Eide, Tracey (D-30)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Fairley, Darlene (D-32)	⊖	X	X	✓	✓	✓	⊖	X	✓	✓	⊖	45%
Franklin, Rosa (D-29)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Fraser, Karen (D-22)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Gordon, Randy (D-41)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Hargrove, Jim (D-24)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Hatfield, Brian (D-19)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Haugen, Mary Margaret (D-10)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	⊖	✓	✓	73%
Hewitt, Mike (R-16)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Hobbs, Steve (D-44)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Holmquist, Janéa (R-13)	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	⊖	64%
Honeyford, Jim (R-15)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Jacobsen, Ken (D-46)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Kastama, Jim (D-25)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Kauffman, Claudia (D-47)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	91%
Keiser, Karen (D-33)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Kilmer, Derek (D-26)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
King, Curtis (R-14)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Kline, Adam (D-37)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Kohl-Welles, Jeanne (D-36)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Marr, Chris (D-6)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
McAuliffe, Rosemary (D-1)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
McCaslin, Bob (R-4) *	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	⊖	0%
McDermott, Joe (D-34)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Morton, Bob (R-7)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Murray, Ed (D-43)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	⊖	73%
Oemig, Eric (D-45)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	⊖	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Parlette, Linda Evans (R-12)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Pflug, Cheryl (R-5)	⊖	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	82%
Prentice, Margarita (D-11)	⊖	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	64%
Pridemore, Craig (D-49)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	⊖	X	✓	⊖	✓	55%
Ranker, Kevin (D-40)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Regala, Debbie (D-27)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Roach, Pam (R-31)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Rockefeller, Phil (D-23)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Schoesler, Mark (R-9)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Sheldon, Tim (D-35)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Shin, Paull (D-21)	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	73%
Stevens, Val (R-39)	X	✓	⊖	✓	X	X	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	55%
Swecker, Dan (R-20)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Tom, Rodney (D-48)	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Zarelli, Joseph (R-18)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%

* Sen. Bob McCaslin was excused due to health reasons.

Washington State House of Representatives

Name, Party & District	EHB 1653	ESHB 2716	ESSB 6130	SB 6143	SSB 6208	ESSB 6267 #2	ESSB 6267 #3	SB 6349	ESSB 6444	SB 6520	SSB 6611	PERCENTAGE
Farm Bureau Position	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	
Alexander, Gary (R-20)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Anderson, Glenn (R-5)	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	82%
Angel, Jan (R-26)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Appleton, Sherry (D-23)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Armstrong, Mike (R-12)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Bailey, Barbara (R-10)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Blake, Brian (D-19)	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	55%
Campbell, Tom (R-2)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Carlyle, Reuven (D-36)	✓	⊖	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	55%
Chandler, Bruce (R-15)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Chase, Maralyn (D-32)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	55%
Chopp, Frank (D-43)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Clibborn, Judy (D-41)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	⊖	X	✓	✓	55%
Cody, Eileen (D-34)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Condotta, Cary (R-12)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	⊖	✓	✓	⊖	✓	✓	73%
Conway, Steve (D-29)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Crouse, Larry (R-4)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Dammeier, Bruce (R-25)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Darneille, Jeannie (D-27)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
DeBolt, Richard (R-20)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Dickerson, Mary Lou (D-36)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Driscoll, John (D-6)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Dunshee, Hans (D-44)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Eddy, Deborah (D-48)	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	73%
Ericks, Mark (D-1)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Ericksen, Doug (R-42)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Fagan, Susan (R-9)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Finn, Fred (D-35)	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	73%
Flannigan, Dennis (D-27)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	73%
Goodman, Roger (D-45)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Green, Tami (D-28)	X	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	55%
Haigh, Kathy (D-35)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	73%
Haler, Larry (R-8)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Hasegawa, Bob (D-11)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	73%
Herrera, Jaime (R-18)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Hinkle, Bill (R-13)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Hope, Mike (R-44)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Hudgins, Zack (D-11)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Hunt, Sam (D-22)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Hunter, Ross (D-48)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Hurst, Christopher (D-31)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	82%
Jacks, Jim (D-49)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Johnson, Norm (R-14)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Kagi, Ruth (D-32)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Kelley, Troy (D-28)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Kenney, Phyllis (D-46)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Kessler, Lynn (D-24)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Kirby, Steve (D-29)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Klippert, Brad (R-8)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%

Name, Party & District	EHB 1653	ESHB 2716	ESSB 6130	SB 6143	SSB 6208	ESSB 6267 #2	ESSB 6267 #3	SB 6349	ESSB 6444	SB 6520	SSB 6611	PERCENTAGE
Farm Bureau Position	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	
Kretz, Joel (R-7)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	⊖	✓	✓	82%
Kristiansen, Dan (R-39)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Liias, Marko (D-21)	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	55%
Linville, Kelli (D-42)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Maxwell, Marcie (D-41)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
McCoy, John (D-38)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	73%
McCune, Jim (R-2)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Miloscia, Mark (D-30)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	91%
Moeller, Jim (D-49)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Morrell, Dawn (D-25)	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	82%
Morris, Jeff (D-40)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Nealey, Terry (R-16)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Nelson, Sharon (D-34)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
O'Brien, Al (D-1)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Orcutt, Ed (R-18)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Ormsby, Timm (D-3)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Orwall, Tina (D-33)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Parker, Kevin (R-6)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Pearson, Kirk (R-39)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Pedersen, Jamie (D-43)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Pettigrew, Eric (D-37)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Priest, Skip (R-30)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	100%
Probst, Tim (D-17)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Quall, Dave (D-40)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Roach, Dan (R-31)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Roberts, Mary Helen (D-21)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	✓	✓	55%
Rodne, Jay (R-5)	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Rolfes, Christine (D-23)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Ross, Charles (R-14)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Santos, Sharon Tomiko (D-37)	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	73%
Schmick, Joe (R-9)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Seaquist, Larry (D-26)	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Sells, Mike (D-38)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Shea, Matt (R-4)	X	✓	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	73%
Short, Shelly (R-7)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Simpson, Geoff (D-47)	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	X	✓	✓	✓	X	64%
Smith, Norma (R-10)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Springer, Larry (D-45)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Sullivan, Pat (D-47)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Takko, Dean (D-19)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Taylor, David (R-15)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
Upthegrove, Dave (D-33)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Van De Wege, Kevin (D-24)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Wallace, Deb (D-17)	✓	✓	✓	X	⊖	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	⊖	64%
Walsh, Maureen (R-16)	X	✓	✓	✓	⊖	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	⊖	73%
Warnick, Judy (R-13)	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	91%
White, Scott (D-46)	✓	✓	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%
Williams, Brendan (D-22)	✓	X	X	X	✓	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	55%
Wood, Alex (D-3)	✓	✓	X	⊖	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	64%